2004 Water Quality Assessment (Final) - Category 5 Listings for WRIA 56

WRIA	Listing ID Cate	egory	98 List?	Waterbody Name Basis	Location Ir	nformatio	n			Parameter	Remarks	Medium
56	41977	5	N	HANGMAN CREEK Spokane Conservation District data (submitted 4/29/2004), station HCStateline shows that 2	TD36NP samples in	90.798 1994, an	_	46E mples		Ammonia-N		Water
56	41978	5	N	HANGMAN CREEK Spokane Conservation District data (submitted 4/29/2004), station HCBradshaw shows that Hallock (2001) Dept. of Ecology Ambient Monitoring Station 56A200 (Hangman Creek @ Brasamples collected between 1993 - 2001			ınd5 sar		n 1997 exceeded the			Water
56	41985	5	N	HANGMAN CREEK Spokane Conservation District data (submitted 4/29/2004), station HCStateline(99) shows the		90.798 collected				Dissolved on iterion.	Changed from Cate consolidation with L	Water egory 2 to Category 5 on 01/21/05 due to isting ID 41986 (cat 2)kk
56	41987	5	N	HANGMAN CREEK Spokane Conservation District data (submitted 4/29/2004), station HCBradshaw(99) shows to Spokane Conservation District data (submitted 4/29/2004), station HCBradshaw shows that with at least one exceedance in each of these years.	'		ed in the	,	2001 exceeded the o		xygen	Water
56	6726	5	Y	HANGMAN CREEK Carey, 1989, 2 excursions beyond the criterion at RM 53.82 on 8/30/88 and 8/31/88.	TD36NP	84.46	20N	45E	13	Fecal Colifor	Data is only availab	Water le in hardcopy format. The water c Category 5 based on the 1998 303(d)

assessment.

Wednesday, November 2, 2005

Page 1 of 4

WRIA	Listing ID Category	ory	98 List?	Waterbody Name Basis	Location I	nformatio	n			Parameter	Remarks	Medium
56	16862	5	N	HANGMAN CREEK Hallock (2004), Dept. of Ecology ambient station 56A070 shows 2 of 12 samples (16.7%) in Hallock (2001) Dept. of Ecology Ambient Monitoring Station 56A070 (Hangman Cr. at Spoka that 12% of the samples exceeds the percentile criterion from 8 samples collected during 20 Hallock (2001) Dept. of Ecology Ambient Monitoring Station 56A070 (Hangman Cr. at Spoka that 9% of the samples does not exceed the percentile criterion from 11 samples collected during 11 Hallock (2001) Dept. of Ecology Ambient Monitoring Station 56A070 (Hangman Cr. at Spoka that 38% of the samples exceeds the percentile criterion from 13 samples collected during 11 Hallock (2001) Dept. of Ecology Ambient Monitoring Station 56A070 (Hangman Cr. at Spoka that 17% of the samples exceeds the percentile criterion from 12 samples collected during 11 Hallock (2001) Dept. of Ecology Ambient Monitoring Station 56A070 (Hangman Cr. at Spoka that 27% of the samples exceeds the percentile criterion from 11 samples collected during 11 Hallock (2001) Dept. of Ecology Ambient Monitoring Station 56A070 (Hangman Cr. at Spoka that 0% of the samples does not exceed the percentile criterion from 6 samples collected during 11 Hallock (2001) Dept. of Ecology Ambient Monitoring Station 56A070 (Hangman Cr. at Spoka that 20% of the samples exceeds the percentile criterion from 10 samples collected during 11 Hallock (2001) Dept. of Ecology Ambient Monitoring Station 56A070 (Hangman Cr. at Spoka that 0% of the samples does not exceed the percentile criterion from 2 samples collected during 11 Hallock (2001) Dept. of Ecology Ambient Monitoring Station 56A070 (Hangman Cr. at Spoka that 0% of the samples does not exceed the percentile criterion from 2 samples collected during 19 Hallock (2001) Dept. of Ecology Ambient Monitoring Station 56A070 (Hangman Cr. at Spoka that 12% of the samples exceeds the percentile criterion from 8 samples collected during 19	ane) shows 101. ane) shows 101. ane) shows 101. ane) shows 101. ane) shows 102. ane) shows 103. ane) shows 103.	a geomet	the per ric mea ric mea ric mea ric mea ric mea ric mea	n of 12 n of 22 n of 67 n of 50 n of 51 n of 44 n of 10	criterion. 2 does not exceed 2 does not exceed 3 does not exceed 3 does not exceed 4 does not exceed 4 does not exceed 4 does not exceed 5 does not exceed 6 does not exceed 6 does not exceed	the criterion and		Water
56		5	N	HANGMAN CREEK Hallock (2001) Dept. of Ecology Ambient Monitoring Station 56A200 (Hangman Creek @ Bracriterion and that 22% of the samples exceeds the percentile criterion from 9 samples collect Hallock (2001) Dept. of Ecology Ambient Monitoring Station 56A200 (Hangman Creek @ Bracriterion and that 0% of the samples does not exceed the percentile criterion from 3 samples	ted during 1 adshaw Ro	1999. ad) shows during 199	s a geor s a geor 98.	metric i	mean of 62 does r mean of 41 does r		orm	Water
56	41992	5	N	HANGMAN CREEK Spokane Conservation District data (submitted 4/29/2004), station HCStateline shows 1 of 6 19 samples (15.8%) exceeded the percentile criterion in 1995.	TD36NP samples (1	90.798 16.7%) ex				Fecal Colifo n 1994 and 3 of	orm	Water

Spokane Conservation District data (submitted 4/29/2004), station HCBradshaw shows a geometric mean of 106.5 exceeded the criterion in 1996; 3 of 13 samples (23.1%) exceeded the percentile criterion in 1996; and 1 of 11 samples exceeded the percentile criterion in 1997.

56 41993

5 N

HANGMAN CREEK

Wednesday, November 2, 2005

TD36NP 48.284 22N 44E 16

Fecal Coliform

Water

WRIA	Listing ID Car	tegory	98 List?	Waterbody Name Basis	Location I	nformatio	n			Parameter	Remarks	Medium
56	11391	5	Υ	HANGMAN CREEK Hallock (2004), Dept. of Ecology ambient station 56A070 shows that 2 of 29 samples exceed	TD36NP	0.573	25N	42E	23	рН	High pH	Water
				Hallock (2001) Dept. of Ecology Ambient Monitoring Station 56A070 (HANGMAN CREEK A collected between 1993 - 2001. Cusimano (2001) station HNG72.4 (Hangman Creek (HNG72.4)) shows 0 excursions beyon	T MOUTH)	shows 16			•	·	0 .	
56	3736	5	Y	HANGMAN CREEK	TD36NP	0.573	·	42E		Temperatur	re	Water
				Dept. of Ecology unpublished data from core ambient monitoring station 56A070 (Hangman 25.4 for mid-week 12 July 2001.; Hallock (2001) Dept. of Ecology Ambient Monitoring Statio beyond the criterion out of 47 samples collected between 1993 - 2001								
				Cusimano (2001) station HNG72.4 (Hangman Creek (HNG72.4)) shows 2 excursions beyon	nd the criter	ion out of	4 sam	ples c	ollected between 0	6/00 - 09/00 .		
56	40942	5	N	HANGMAN CREEK Spokane CD (1999) shows low flow turbidity excursions in 1 out of 16 samples and high flow	TD36NP	48.284				Turbidity		Water
56	41979	5	N	LITTLE HANGMAN CREEK Spokane Conservation District data (submitted 4/29/2004), station LHC-Tekoa shows that 12	DB09ZX	0.258	20N	45E	13	Ammonia-N	I	Water
56	41994	5	N	LITTLE HANGMAN CREEK Spokane Conservation District data (submitted 4/29/2004), station LHC-Tekoa shows 1 of 6	DB09ZX samples (1	0.258 6.7%) exc	-	45E the pe	-	Fecal Colifo		Water
56	40940	5	N	samples (36.8%) exceeded the percentile criterion in 1995. LITTLE HANGMAN CREEK Spokane CD (1999) shows low flow turbidity excursions in 7 out of 19 samples and high flow	DB09ZX turbidity expenses of the contract o	•	-	45E t of 10		Turbidity		Water
56	40941	5	N	RATTLERS RUN CREEK Spokane CD (1999) shows low flow turbidity excursions in 7 out of 41 samples and high flow	OS64LX / turbidity ex	-		44E t of 10		Turbidity		Water
56	41990	5	N	ROCK CREEK Spokane Conservation District data (submitted 4/29/2004), station RC-Jackson shows that 8 with at least one exceedance in each of these years.	HW71ES samples c		-		_	Dissolved of the criterion	oxygen	Water

Wednesday, November 2, 2005

Page 3 of 4

WRIA	Listing ID Ca	ategory	98 List?	Waterbody Name Basis	Location Information	Parameter Remarks	Medium
56	41996	5	N	ROCK CREEK Spokane Conservation District data (submitted 4/29/2004), station RC-Jackson shows 2 of samples (32.0%) exceeded the percentile criterion in 1995; 2 of 14 samples (14.3%) exceeded the percentile criterion in 1997.	. , , ,	•	Water
56	40943	5	N	ROCK CREEK Spekage CD (1999) shows law flow turbidity evaluations in 6 out of 44 complex and high flow	HW71ES 11.615 23N 44E 23	Turbidity	Water

Spokane CD (1999) shows low flow turbidity excursions in 6 out of 44 samples and high flow turbidity excursions in 46 out of 63 samples.

Wednesday, November 2, 2005

Page 4 of 4